A Tale of Two States: Decisions about Extending Medicaid Can Drastically Affect Residents’ Lives

Two health care consumers from Families USA’s story bank shared their stories for this brief.

States have taken very different paths when deciding whether to extend health coverage to more low-income residents. To date, Missouri’s lawmakers have rejected Medicaid expansion, leaving federal dollars on the table—and leaving more than 250,000 Missourians without an option for affordable health insurance.¹

In contrast, neighboring states, including Arkansas, Illinois, Iowa, and Kentucky, made a different decision, opting to use federal funds to extend Medicaid and help hundreds of thousands of residents.

But when we look beyond the numbers, we see that these decisions can dramatically affect the lives of real people. Expanding access to affordable health care can improve people’s lives significantly—in some cases, it’s the difference between living a normal life and one of chronic pain.

This brief compares the stories of two women in neighboring states, Missouri and Iowa. These stories show the real-life impacts of state decisions to either expand health coverage or reject that expansion.

**Spotlight Missouri: Working Hard but Uninsured**

Thirty-five-year-old Cape Girardeau, Missouri, resident Echo Garrett lost her job at a call center in 2013. Today, she works part-time at a fast food chain making minimum wage with no health benefits. Like Echo, most of those who could benefit if Missouri extended Medicaid (60 percent) are working.²

Echo is trying hard to make ends meet, but chronic health conditions—asthma and severe knee pain from osteoarthritis—make it even more difficult. In September, she had to take three weeks off work due to severe knee pain that made it impossible for her to do her job.

Because she lives in Missouri, where lawmakers have decided not to extend Medicaid, Echo—who is...
single and doesn’t have children—has no options for affordable health insurance. Missouri’s current Medicaid program doesn’t cover non-disabled adults without dependent children, no matter how low their income. Furthermore, Echo doesn’t make enough to qualify for help with marketplace insurance, leaving her behind in the coverage gap.

Without health insurance, Echo can’t afford the care she needs to make it easier for her to work. If Echo lived just one state over in Iowa, her story might be very different.

Fortunately, Missouri lawmakers can still opt to extend Medicaid, providing coverage to adults with incomes up to 138 percent of poverty ($27,724 for a family of three) with the federal government paying nearly all of the costs.

**Spotlight Iowa: Extending Coverage Helps Residents**

In Lake View, Iowa, 55-year-old Deb Stehr, a single mother caring for her disabled son, finally got health coverage in 2014 after Iowa extended its Medicaid program. She had been uninsured for 37 years.

Deb has worked as a waitress and as a self-employed consultant for nonprofits. She did not get health coverage through any of these jobs. In 2004, her consulting work was winding down, and she became a full-time caregiver for her son.

Deb’s son has had Medicaid coverage because of his disability. And in her job as her son’s caregiver, Deb is paid by Medicaid. But until Iowa extended Medicaid in January 2014, she wasn’t eligible for the program herself. Before 2014, Iowa’s Medicaid eligibility level for parents with dependent children was below the poverty level, like Missouri’s.

Deb’s Medicaid coverage began in July 2014. She described having health insurance as “a relief” when she needed to go to the emergency room for treatment of an infected animal bite. She could get the care she needed and not have to worry that the cost would be a financial burden. Having Medicaid also means Deb can afford her blood pressure medicine and stay healthy so she can continue caring for her son.

**In States that Extend Medicaid, Health Coverage Brings Residents Peace of Mind**

Deb says she was lucky to have good health during the 37 years she didn’t have insurance. She remains in good health, and now that she has Medicaid, she doesn’t have to worry that a health problem will wipe her out financially. Deb can focus on taking care of her son and knows that if she gets sick, she’s covered.

That stands in stark contrast to Echo’s situation.

Echo can’t afford the care she needs that could improve her health: Even though she has constant knee pain, she is hesitant to see a doctor because of the cost. She has trouble managing her asthma because she can’t afford to see a doctor or buy the most effective medication. She makes monthly payments for orthopedic care she received in the past, but she hasn’t continued that care due to worries about cost.

“I’m in pain all the time,” says Echo. “But I just have to learn to deal with it.”

**Snapshot**

**Echo Garrett**

Cape Girardeau, Missouri

*Age: 35*

*Household:* Single, no children

*Work:* Fast food chain

*Health:* Asthma, osteoarthritis

*Health Insurance: No*

Echo lives in Missouri, where lawmakers have decided not to extend Medicaid. Because she is single and doesn’t have children, she has no options for affordable health insurance.
If Echo lived in Iowa instead of Missouri, she would be able to get Medicaid, see an orthopedist, take steps to treat her knees, and have regular access to effective asthma medication. She would be able to *get* healthy and afford regular check-ups so she could *stay* healthy. If she lived in Iowa, Echo would be able to get the care she needs to make working easier and build a better life for herself.

“It would just give me peace of mind to have insurance and know that I can take care of my health,” Echo said.

### Extending Coverage Would Move Missouri—and Missourians—Forward

If Missouri extended Medicaid, it would be easier for Echo and tens of thousands of other Missourians to stay healthy, keep working, get ahead, and have financial security. It would also bring economic benefits to the state.

Here are four key ways that extending Medicaid would help Missouri’s economy:

- **It would produce more jobs.** New federal dollars would come into Missouri and create an estimated 23,868 jobs from 2015 to 2022, resulting in $9.9 billion in cumulative wages.  

- **It would strengthen the health care system.** The state’s decision not to extend Medicaid is projected to cost Missouri hospitals $6.8 billion in lost revenue from 2013 through 2022. That’s because hospitals often cannot get paid when they treat uninsured patients. That uncompensated care hurts hospitals’ bottom line and can leave them struggling, or closing. That was the fate of Sac-Osage Hospital in Osceola, which closed its hospital operations in September 2014.

- **It would free up state funds for other uses.** The state covers some of the uncompensated care hospitals provide. Extending Medicaid would give more people insurance, so the state could spend less on uncompensated care. If Missouri had extended Medicaid in 2014 when Iowa did, it would have saved an estimated $385 million in uncompensated care costs by 2022, freeing up state money for other priorities.

- **It would be good for business.** Sixty percent of the uninsured Missourians who could benefit if the state extended Medicaid are working, like Echo. Expanding workers’ access to health care would mean a healthier, more productive workforce.

### It Is up to Missouri Lawmakers to Extend Health Coverage to More Residents

Missouri lawmakers can vote to accept federal funds to extend Medicaid at any time—they just need the political will to do so.

“There are hard-working Missourians like me trying to keep their head above water to make ends meet, and it’s not fair we can’t get health insurance,” says Echo. “I’m not looking for a handout. I just want to have insurance to take care of myself and continue working.”

---

**Snapshot**

Deb Stehr
Lake View, Iowa

- **Age:** 55
- **Household:** Single mother, disabled son
- **Work:** Caregiver
- **Health:** High blood pressure
- **Health Insurance:** Yes

Deb described having health insurance as “a relief” when she needed to go to the emergency room for treatment of an infected animal bite.
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